

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iii
LIST OF ACRONYMS	xxix
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
2.0 PERMIT ADMINISTRATION	2-1
3.0 LEGAL AUTHORITY.....	3-1
4.0 SOURCE IDENTIFICATION.....	4-1
4.1 GIS SOURCE IDENTIFICATION DATA	4-1
4.1.1 2010 Division of Public Works GIS Data Activities	4-3
4.1.2 2010 Enterprise GIS Data Activities.....	4-4
4.1.3 2010 Division of Planning GIS Data Activities.....	4-6
4.2 URBAN BMP/SWM FACILITIES DATABASE.....	4-7
5.0 DISCHARGE CHARACTERIZATION	5-1
5.1 FREDERICK COUNTY'S LONG-TERM MONITORING PLAN	5-1
5.1.1 Chemical Monitoring	5-5
5.1.2 Biological Monitoring Results for Peter Pan Run	5-47
5.1.3 Physical Stream Assessment.....	5-49
5.1.4 Integrated Analysis of Field Results	5-50
5.1.5 Plans for Future Long-term Monitoring	5-60
6.0 MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS	6-1
6.1 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.....	6-1
6.1.1 Maintenance Inspections of Stormwater Management Facilities	6-1
6.1.2 Implementation and Updates of 2000 Maryland Stormwater Design Manual	6-2
6.2 ILLICIT CONNECTION DETECTION AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM.....	6-3
6.2.1 Illicit Connection Program.....	6-3
6.2.2 IDDE Report #1	6-5
6.3 SPILL RESPONSE.....	6-7
6.3.1 Spill Report #1	6-8
6.4 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PROGRAM.....	6-8
6.4.1 Responsible Personnel Certification Classes	6-10
6.4.2 Construction Site Data	6-11

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

	Page
6.5	PUBLIC OUTREACH AND EDUCATION PROGRAM..... 6-11
6.5.1	Public Outreach Related to Monocacy & Catoclin Watershed Alliance 6-20
6.5.2	Other County Outreach Initiatives 6-22
6.6	ROAD MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES 6-25
6.7	HERBICIDE, PESTICIDE, AND FERTILIZER USE..... 6-28
6.7.1	Introduction..... 6-29
6.7.2	Herbicide Use..... 6-29
6.7.3	Pesticide Use..... 6-36
6.7.4	Fertilizer Use..... 6-36
7.0	WATERSHED RESTORATION 7-1
7.1	STREAM MONITORING TO IDENTIFY AND EVALUATE WATER QUALITY PROBLEMS..... 7-1
7.2	BIOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL STREAM ASSESSMENT 7-1
7.2.1	Ballenger Creek Elementary School Stream Restoration 7-3
7.2.2	Pinecliff Park Stream Restoration in Lower Linganore Creek Watershed 7-6
7.2.3	Mount Ephraim Culvert Replacement in Bennett Creek Watershed 7-9
7.3	COUNTYWIDE STREAM ASSESSMENT..... 7-11
7.3.1	Survey Design..... 7-11
7.3.2	Pilot Study for the Countywide Stream Assessment 7-14
7.3.3	Sampling Years 2008-2010..... 7-14
7.4	IMPLEMENTATION AND TRACKING OF RESTORATION EFFORTS IN FREDERICK COUNTY 7-15
7.4.1	Regulations 7-15
7.4.2	Tracking Pollutants 7-19
7.4.3	Restoration Projects by Type 7-22
7.4.4	Implementation Strategy and Timeline..... 7-23
7.5	WATERSHED ASSESSMENT AND RESTORATION..... 7-30
7.5.1	Lower Bush Creek 7-30
7.5.2	Ballenger Creek 7-32
7.5.3	Linganore Creek..... 7-35
7.5.4	Bennett Creek..... 7-59
7.5.5	Impervious Area Reduction Efforts Countywide and in Other Watersheds 7-64
8.0	PROGRAM FUNDING..... 8-1
8.1	REQUESTED FY 2012 OPERATING BUDGET 8-1
8.2	APPROVED OPERATING BUDGETS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2010..... 8-1
8.2.1	Approved FY 2011 Operating Budget..... 8-1
8.2.2	Approved FY 2010 Operating Budget..... 8-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

	Page
8.3	PREVIOUS YEARS' OPERATING BUDGETS 8-3
8.3.1	Approved FY 2009 Operating Budget..... 8-3
8.3.2	Approved FY 2008 Operating Budget..... 8-4
8.4	REQUESTED FY 2012 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET..... 8-5
8.5	APPRIVED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGETS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2010..... 8-5
8.5.1	Approved FY 2011 Capital Improvement Project Budget 8-5
8.5.2	Approved FY 2010 Capital Improvement Project Budget 8-6
8.6	PREVIOUS YEARS CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGETS 8-6
8.6.1	Approved FY 2009 Capital Improvement Project Budget 8-6
8.6.2	Approved FY 2008 Capital Improvement Project Budget 8-7
9.0	POLLUTANT LOADINGS FOR MUNICIPAL STORM OUTFALLS AND REMOVAL BY ASSOCIATED BMPS 9-1
10.0	SPECIAL PROGRAMMATIC CONDITIONS..... 10-1
10.1	CHESAPEAKE BAY AGREEMENT 10-1
10.2	TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD REQUIREMENTS 10-2
10.2.1	Work Completed in 2010..... 10-2
10.2.2	Work Completed prior to 2010..... 10-3
11.0	REFERENCES..... 11-1
 APPENDICES	
A	County Attorney Certification A-1
B	List of Available County GIS Data.....B-1
C	Database Submittals.....C-1
D	Updated Pollutant Loading Estimates for Municipal Storm Outfalls..... D-1
E	Methods for Estimating Pollutant Loading in Peter Pan Run.....E-1
F	Summary of Biological and Physical Monitoring Data for Peter Pan Run F-1
G	No Exposure Certification Acknowledgement G-1
H	Public Outreach Materials..... H-1
I	Highway Operations Quarterly Reports.....I-1
J	Prioritized Watershed ListingJ-1
K	Summary of Stream Biological and Physical Monitoring Data for Ballenger, Bennett, and Linganore Creek Sites Sampled in 2010 K-1
L	Fiscal Information on Frederick County's NPDES ProgramL-1
M	Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Program 2010 ResultsM-1
N	Frederick County Stream Survey Monitoring Results..... N-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
4-1 Status of GIS data required by NPDES permit.....	4-1
5-1 Annual physical and biological sampling schedule for watershed monitoring stations	5-4
5-2 Annual stream chemistry sampling schedule for the instream and outfall stations	5-5
5-3 Parameters and detection limits for Frederick County's Water Chemistry Monitoring Program.....	5-9
5-4 Summary of storm events monitored in WY2010 at the Peter Pan Run station.....	5-9
5-5 Rainfall data	5-22
5-6a Water chemistry results for instream stormwater monitoring at Peter Pan Run.....	5-28
5-6b Water chemistry results for outfall stormwater monitoring at Pond R.....	5-29
5-6c Water chemistry results for instream baseflow monitoring at Peter Pan Run	5-30
5-6d Water chemistry results for outfall baseflow monitoring at Pond R	5-30
5-6e Water chemistry results for first-flush only samples at Peter Pan Run and Pond R.....	5-30
5-7 Comparison of annual average Peter Pan Run EMCs from storms sampled between October 1, 2009 and September 30, 2010, with Maryland state average EMCs for all land uses, with values from two national datasets, and with Maryland water quality standards.	5-31
5-8a Storm event flow volume in cubic feet and acre-feet. Pollutant loads in pounds per storm event at Peter Pan Run.....	5-40
5-8b Storm event flow volume in cubic feet and acre-feet. Pollutant loads in pounds per storm event at Pond R Outfall.....	5-40
5-9a Baseflow and stormflow concentrations with estimated annual baseflow and stormflow loads in Peter Pan Run.....	5-42
5-9b Baseflow and stormflow concentrations with estimated annual baseflow and stormflow loads in Pond R Outfall	5-42
5-10a Baseflow and stormflow concentrations with estimated fall baseflow and stormflow loads in Peter Pan Run.....	5-43
5-10b Baseflow and stormflow concentrations with estimated winter baseflow and stormflow loads in Peter Pan Run.....	5-43
5-10c Baseflow and stormflow concentrations with estimated spring baseflow and stormflow loads in Peter Pan Run.....	5-44
5-10d Baseflow and stormflow concentrations with estimated summer baseflow and stormflow loads in Peter Pan Run.....	5-44

LIST OF TABLES (Cont'd)

Table	Page
5-11a Baseflow and stormflow concentrations with estimated fall baseflow and stormflow loads in Pond R Outfall	5-45
5-11b Baseflow and stormflow concentrations with estimated winter baseflow and stormflow loads in Pond R Outfall	5-45
5-11c Baseflow and stormflow concentrations with estimated spring baseflow and stormflow loads in Pond R Outfall	5-46
5-11d Baseflow and stormflow concentrations with estimated summer baseflow and stormflow loads in Pond R Outfall	5-46
5-12 Scoring classes for the Index of Biotic Integrity used by the MBSS indices	5-48
5-13 Summary of 2010 results from Peter Pan Run using the MBSS 2005 IBIs	5-48
5-14 Summary of changes to Frederick County’s long-term monitoring efforts.....	5-61
6-1 Dry weather screening results.....	6-5
6-2 Current status of discharge permits for County-owned properties, originally issued in 2004-2005	6-6
6-3 Responsible personnel certification classed administered by Frederick County in 2010	6-11
6-4 Summary of public outreach and education activities	6-13
6-5 Quantity of recycled material by type.....	6-23
6-6 Household Hazardous Waste Day events	6-23
6-7 The use of deicers in 2010, by DNR watershed.....	6-27
7-1 Stream stations monitored by Frederick County in 2010	7-3
7-2 Scoring classes for the Index of Biotic Integrity used by the MBSS indices	7-6
7-3 Summary of 2010 results using the MBSS 2005 IBIs	7-6
7-4 Analytical parameters, using MBSS protocols, for FCSS water samples	7-13
7-5 Current, planned, and completed watershed restoration projects within Frederick County.....	7-24
7-6 Summary of candidate restoration projects in Linganore Creek watershed	7-37
7-7 Summary and ranking of candidate CIP watershed restoration opportunities in Linganore Creek	7-38
7-8 D50 and D84 values for weighted pebble counts conducted at 6 cross sections of Pinecliff Creek in early October 2009 and in early October 2010.	7-58

LIST OF TABLES (Cont'd)

Table		Page
7-9	Stream particle grain-size classifications.....	7-58
7-10	Total Scores, estimated costs and additional information on the candidate sites	7-61
8-1	Tasks assigned and to be assigned using FY 2011 funds	8-2
8-2	Tasks assigned using FY 2010 funds.....	8-3
8-3	Tasks assigned using FY 2009 funds.....	8-4
8-4	Tasks assigned using FY 2008 funds.....	8-5
8-5	CIP project funds requested for FY 2012	8-5
8-6	CIP project funds approved in FY 2011	8-6
8-7	CIP project funds approved in FY 2010	8-6
8-8	CIP project funds approved in FY 2009	8-7
8-9	CIP project funds approved in FY 2008	8-7
9-1	Percent imperviousness for each specific land use	9-2
9-2	Percent removal of pollutants by stormwater management structure type	9-2
9-3	Event mean concentrations for each parameter used for estimating pollutant loads	9-3
9-4	Summary of percent pollutant removal by stormwater BMPs.....	9-7

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2-1 Organization chart.....	2-4
5-1 Aerial photographs of the Urbana PUD showing changes in the area over time	5-2
5-2 Annotated aerial photograph of Peter Pan Run in Lower Bush Creek watershed, Frederick County, Maryland showing the Peter Pan Run monitoring stations.....	5-3
5-3a The “storm box” and rain gauge at the ambient instream monitoring station at Peter Pan Run in the Lower Bush Creek Watershed, Frederick County, MD.....	5-6
5-3b Ambient instream monitoring station at Peter Pan Run in the Lower Bush Creek Watershed, Frederick County, MD.....	5-6
5-4 Villages of Urbana “Pond R” outfall water chemistry monitoring station	5-7
5-5a Hydrograph and rainfall for the October 15, 2009 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-10
5-5b Hydrograph and rainfall for the November 11, 2009 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-10
5-5c Hydrograph and rainfall for the December 2, 2009 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-11
5-5d Hydrograph and rainfall for the January 17, 2010 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-11
5-5e Hydrograph and rainfall for the March 12, 2010 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-12
5-5f Hydrograph and rainfall for the March 22, 2010 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-12
5-5g Hydrograph and rainfall for the April 8, 2010 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-13
5-5h Hydrograph and rainfall for the May 11, 2010 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-13
5-5i Hydrograph and rainfall for the June 3, 2010 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-14
5-5j Hydrograph and rainfall for the July 25, 2010 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-14
5-5k Hydrograph and rainfall for the September 12, 2010 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-15
5-5l Hydrograph and rainfall for the September 16, 2010 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-15

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd)

Figure	Page
5-5m Hydrograph and rainfall for the September 27, 2010 storm event at the Peter Pan Run instream station	5-16
5-6a Hydrograph and rainfall for the October 15, 2010 storm event at the Pond R outfall station	5-16
5-6b Hydrograph and rainfall for the November 11, 2009 storm event at the Pond R outfall station.....	5-17
5-6c Hydrograph and rainfall for the December 2, 2009 storm event at the Pond R outfall station.....	5-17
5-6d Hydrograph and rainfall for the January 17, 2010 storm event at the Pond R outfall station	5-18
5-6e Hydrograph and rainfall for the March 12, 2010 storm event at the Pond R outfall station.....	5-18
5-6f Hydrograph and rainfall for the March 22, 2010 storm event at the Pond R outfall station.....	5-19
5-6g Hydrograph and rainfall for the April 8, 2010 storm event at the Pond R outfall station	5-19
5-6h Hydrograph and rainfall for the May 11, 2010 storm event at the Pond R outfall station	5-20
5-6i Hydrograph and rainfall for the June 3, 2010 storm event at the Pond R outfall station	5-20
5-6j Hydrograph and rainfall for the July 25, 2010 storm event at the Pond R outfall station	5-21
5-6k Hydrograph and rainfall for the September 16, 2010 storm event at the Pond R outfall station.....	5-21
5-6l Hydrograph and rainfall for the September 27, 2010 storm event at the Pond R outfall station	5-22
5-7 Rainfall totals for sampled storm events, Peter Pan Run instream monitoring station.....	5-23
5-8 Annual rainfall recorded at NOAA's Emmitsburg, MD station, WY 1999-2004 and at NOAA's Clarksburg, MD station, WY 2005-2010.....	5-24
5-9 Monthly rainfall recorded at the Peter Pan site and long-term average monthly rainfall for NOAA's Frederick County, MD station.....	5-24
5-10 Annual discharge volume measured at Peter Pan Run instream monitoring station, WY 2000-2010.....	5-25

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd)

Figure	Page
5-11	Storm discharge plotted as a function of rainfall for storm events monitored at Peter Pan Run, for each year sampled, WY 2005-2010. 5-26
5-12	Annual flow-weighted average of baseflow mean concentrations and storm event mean concentrations of copper and zinc at Peter Pan Run instream site 5-33
5-13	Annual flow-weighted average of baseflow mean concentrations and storm event mean concentrations of lead and cadmium at Peter Pan Run instream site 5-33
5-14	Annual flow-weighted average of baseflow mean concentrations and storm event mean concentrations of TKN and nitrate and nitrite at Peter Pan Run instream site..... 5-35
5-15	Annual flow-weighted average of baseflow mean concentrations and storm event mean concentrations of phosphorus at Peter Pan Run instream site..... 5-35
5-16	Annual flow-weighted average of baseflow mean concentrations and storm event mean concentrations of TSS at Peter Pan Run instream site 5-36
5-17	Analytical results of instream and outfall storm event and baseflow fecal coliform concentrations 5-36
5-18	Annual flow-weighted average of baseflow mean concentrations and storm event mean concentrations of copper and zinc at Pond R outfall site 5-38
5-19	Annual flow-weighted average of baseflow mean concentrations and storm event mean concentrations of TSS and phosphorus at Pond R outfall site..... 5-38
5-20	Annual flow-weighted average of baseflow mean concentrations and storm event mean concentrations of TKN and nitrate and nitrite at Pond R outfall site 5-39
5-21	Bank loss as measured by the bank pin at BUSL-201-T 5-51
5-22	Cross sectional changes at BUSL-201-T between 1999 and 2010 5-51
5-23	Cross sectional changes at BUSL-202 between 1999 and 2010..... 5-52
5-24	Dominant substrate particle size at Peter Pan Run stations, from June 1999 to July 2010. 5-52
5-25	Storm event mean concentrations for zinc at the instream station..... 5-54
5-26	Storm event mean concentrations for copper, cadmium, and lead at the instream station 5-54
5-27	Annual loading for TSS at the instream station. 5-55
5-28	Storm event mean concentrations for phosphorus and nitrate + nitrite at the instream station 5-55
5-29	Relationship between storm event mean concentrations for phosphorus and TSS at the instream station. 5-56

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd)

Figure	Page
5-30 Storm event mean concentrations for metals at the outfall station	5-57
5-31 Storm event mean concentrations for TSS at the outfall station.....	5-57
5-32 Storm event mean concentrations for phosphorus and nitrate + nitrite at the outfall station	5-58
5-33 Relationship between storm event mean concentrations for phosphorus and TSS at the outfall station.....	5-58
5-34 Index of Biological Integrity classes for Peter Pan Run monitoring in 2010.	5-60
7-1 2010 stream monitoring locations within Ballenger Creek, Bennett Creek, and Linganore Creek watersheds.....	7-2
7-2 BALL-304-T, prior to restoration.....	7-4
7-3 BALL-304-T, immediately post-restoration.....	7-4
7-4 BALL-304-T, two years post restoration.....	7-5
7-5 LINL-118, prior to restoration	7-7
7-6 Gully, filled with rip rap, leading from parking lot construction into LINL-118, prior to restoration.....	7-8
7-7 LINL-118, after restoration.....	7-8
7-8 BENN-108, prior to culvert replacement.....	7-10
7-9 Diagram of Frederick County watersheds	7-12
7-10 Map of Frederick County with 2007 and 2010 FCSS monitoring sites and benthic IBI scores	7-16
7-11 Map of Frederick County with 2007 and 2010 FCSS monitoring sites and Total Nitrogen concentrations.....	7-17
7-12 Map of Frederick County with 2007 and 2010 FCSS monitoring sites and Total Phosphorus concentrations	7-18
7-13 Aerial photograph of Pinecliff Creek with locations of 6 cross-sections surveyed by Versar in October 2009 and in October 2010.	7-44
7-14 Cross-sectional graph depicting shape of channel at Cross Section A, as surveyed by Versar staff on October 2009 and in October 2010 after restoration.....	7-45
7-15 Particle distribution at Pinecliff Park Cross Section A as surveyed on October 9 2009 and in October 2010 after restoration	7-45
7-16 This photo was taken in the middle of the streambed at Cross Section A looking downstream on October 9, 2009	7-46

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd)

Figure	Page
7-17	This photo was taken in the middle of the streambed at Cross Section A looking downstream on October 8, 2010 7-46
7-18	Cross-sectional graph depicting shape of channel at Cross Section B, as surveyed by Versar in October 2009 prior to restoration and in October 2010 after restoration.. 7-47
7-19	Particle distribution at Pinecliff Park Cross Section B in October 2009 prior to restoration 7-47
7-20	This photograph was taken from the middle of the streambed looking downstream at Cross Section B, October 9, 2009 7-48
7-21	This photograph was taken from the middle of the streambed looking downstream at Cross Section B, one year later..... 7-48
7-22	Cross-sectional graph depicting shape of channel at Cross Section C, as surveyed by Versar in October 2009 prior to restoration and in October 2010 after restoration.. 7-49
7-23	Particle distribution at Pinecliff Creek’s Cross Section C in October 2009 prior to restoration and in October 2010 after restoration 7-49
7-24	This photograph taken from the right bank depicts Versar field staff surveying Cross Section C of Pinecliff Creek on October 9, 2009 7-50
7-25	This photograph taken from the right bank depicts the restored banks at Cross Section C of Pinecliff Creek on October 8, 2010 7-50
7-26	Cross-sectional graph depicting shape of channel at Cross Section D, as surveyed by Versar in October 2009 prior to restoration and in October 2010 after restoration.. 7-51
7-27	Particle distribution at Pinecliff Creek’s Cross Section D in October 2009 prior to restoration and in October 2010 after restoration 7-51
7-28	This photograph was taken by Versar field crews on October 6, 2009 from Pinecliff Creek’s left bank at Cross Section D looking downstream..... 7-52
7-29	This photograph depicts Pinecliff Creek looking downstream from Cross Section D during post-restoration monitoring in October 2010 7-52
7-30	Cross-sectional graph depicting shape of channel at Cross Section E, as surveyed by Versar in October 2009 prior to restoration and in October 2010 after restoration..... 7-53
7-31	Particle distribution at Pinecliff Creek’s Cross Section E in October 2009 prior to restoration and in October 2010 after restoration 7-53
7-32	This photograph was taken from the concrete road bridge crossing Pinecliff Creek, looking upstream at Cross Section E as surveyed on October 6, 2009 7-54
7-33	This photograph was taken from the concrete road bridge crossing Pinecliff Creek, looking upstream at Cross Section E as surveyed on October 7, 2010..... 7-54

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont'd)

Figure	Page
7-34	Cross-sectional graph depicting shape of channel at Cross Section F, as surveyed by Versar in October 2009 prior to restoration and in October 2010 after restoration..... 7-55
7-35	Particle distribution at Pinecliff Creek’s Cross Section F in October 2009 prior to restoration and in October 2010 after restoration 7-55
7-36	This photograph was taken on October 6, 2009 from the left bank of Pinecliff Creek looking upstream to Cross Section F, which is just below the bridge crossing .. 7-56
7-37	This photograph was taken from the same location one year later looking upstream to Cross Section F following restoration..... 7-56
9-1	Percent pollutant removal by BMP type for Frederick County outfalls based on the Simple Method..... 9-4
9-2	Frequency of total structural BMPs in Frederick County..... 9-5
9-3	Average pollutant removal by BMP type for Frederick County outfalls based on the Simple Method..... 9-6